

ERRATUM

Open Access



Erratum to: Phylogeography of the sand dune ant *Mycetophylax simplex* along the Brazilian Atlantic Forest coast: remarkably low mtDNA diversity and shallow population structure

Danon Clemes Cardoso^{1,2,3*}, Maykon Passos Cristiano^{3,4}, Mara Garcia Tavares¹, Christoph D. Schubart³ and Jürgen Heinze^{3*}

Erratum

The original version of this article [1] unfortunately contained a mistake. The presentation of Tables two and three (Tables 1 and 2 here) were incorrect in the PDF and HTML versions of this article. The corrected Tables two and three (Tables 1 and 2 here) are given below.

The original article has been updated to reflect this change.

* Correspondence: Danon.Clemes@biologie.uni-regensburg.de; Juergen.Heinze@biologie.uni-regensburg.de

¹Present address: Departamento de Genética, Universidade Federal do Paraná, Setor de Ciências Biológicas, Rua Francisco H. dos Santos, s/nº, Jardim das Américas, Curitiba 81530-000 Paraná, Brazil

³Zoology/Evolutionary Biology, Universität Regensburg, Universitätstrasse 31, Regensburg D-93040, Germany

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Table 1 Genetic diversity and neutrality tests for each population and with all populations of *M. simplex* together

| Populations | Nucleotide diversity (π) (\pm S.D.) | Haplotype diversity (h) (\pm S.D.) | Tajima's D | Fu's FS |
|-----------------|--|---|---------------------------|----------------------------|
| RS1 | 0.00189 (0.00090) | 0.667 (0.163) | -1.87333 ($P = 0.0083$) | -1.11562 ($P = 0.1609$) |
| RS2 | 0.00224 (0.00054) | 0.889 (0.091) | -0.6299 ($P = 0.2859$) | -2.32907 ($P = 0.0261$) |
| RS3 | 0.00276 (0.00055) | 0.933 (0.077) | -1.50661 ($P = 0.0632$) | -4.46904 ($P = 0.0025$) |
| SC4 | 0.00181 (0.00024) | 0.818 (0.083) | 0.43329 ($P = 0.6969$) | -1.02733 ($P = 0.1714$) |
| SC5 | 0.00268 (0.00036) | 0.890 (0.060) | -1.09063 ($P = 0.1463$) | -2.8844 ($P = 0.0302$) |
| SC6 | 0.00336 (0.00039) | 0.709 (0.099) | 1.52257 ($P = 0.9504$) | 1.62676 ($P = 0.8143$) |
| SC7 | 0.00387 (0.00064) | 0.709 (0.137) | 1.49895 ($P = 0.9408$) | 0.7727 ($P = 0.6626$) |
| SC8 | 0.00346 (0.00042) | 0.873 (0.089) | 1.00501 ($P = 0.8566$) | -1.68615 ($P = 0.1229$) |
| SC9 | 0.00368 (0.00059) | 0.833 (0.098) | 0.92757 ($P = 0.8263$) | 0.12678 ($P = 0.4978$) |
| NE10 | 0.00339 (0.00038) | 0.697 (0.090) | 1.68302 ($P = 0.9613$) | 1.85074 ($P = 0.8387$) |
| All populations | 0.00346 (0.00019) | 0.865 (0.022) | -1.47062 ($P = 0.0422$) | -21.59803 ($P = 0.0001$) |

Cardoso et al.

Cardoso et al. BMC Evolutionary Biology 2015 15:106 doi:10.1186/s12862-015-0383-4

Table 2 - Φ_{ST} values for pairwise comparisons between population of *M. simplex* (lower left) and p values (upper right)

| | RS1 | RS2 | RS3 | SC4 | SC5 | SC6 | SC7 | SC8 | PR9 | NE10 |
|-------------|----------------------|----------------|----------------------------|----------------------|----------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|---------|
| RS1 | - | 0.54618 | 0.01228 | 0.04633 | 0.18008 | 0.01792 | 0.01594 | 0.04841 | 0.08653 | 0.0492 |
| RS2 | 0.01856 ^a | - | 0.01683 | 0.00515 | 0.0302 | 0.02624 | 0.01465 | 0.04742 | 0.09554 | 0.0592 |
| RS3 | 0.11411 | 0.13078 | - | 0.27888 | 0.02287 | 0.00386 | 0.00337 | 0.00485 | 0.01297 | 0.00941 |
| SC4 | 0.09502 | 0.1619 | 0.01451 ^b | - | 0.08455 | 0.00356 | 0.00297 | 0.00752 | 0.01733 | 0.01129 |
| SC5 | 0.02839 | 0.093 | 0.10675^b | 0.07119 ^b | - | 0.00069 | 0.0004 | 0.00168 | 0.00713 | 0.00614 |
| SC6 | 0.27381 | 0.24143 | 0.34481 | 0.34975 | 0.30795 | - | 0.78299 | 0.92516 | 0.6338 | 0.83912 |
| SC7 | 0.29673 | 0.27747 | 0.36158 | 0.35894 | 0.32158 | 0.05903 ^c | - | 0.59489 | 0.43421 | 0.47352 |
| SC8 | 0.19818 | 0.17864 | 0.28682 | 0.26863 | 0.24282 | 0.06991 ^c | 0.03956 ^c | - | 0.93931 | 0.77794 |
| PR9 | 0.13621 | 0.12338 | 0.23448 | 0.21937 | 0.1883 | 0.06591 ^c | 0.0298 ^c | 0.08068 ^c | - | 0.94852 |
| NE10 | 0.18098 | 0.16623 | 0.27856 | 0.27675 | 0.23074 | 0.07182 ^c | 0.03535 ^c | 0.06859 ^c | 0.0855 ^c | - |

Population names are given in the Table 1. The colors show the shallow phylogeographic structure found: southern populations (^a), central-eastern population (^b) and northern populations (^c)

Bold values are significant at $P < 0.05$

Cardoso et al.

Cardoso et al. BMC Evolutionary Biology 2015 15:106 doi:10.1186/s12862-015-0383-4

Author details

¹Present address: Departamento de Genética, Universidade Federal do Paraná, Setor de Ciências Biológicas, Rua Francisco H. dos Santos, s/nº, Jardim das Américas, Curitiba 81530-000Paraná, Brazil. ²Departamento de Biologia Geral, Universidade Federal de Viçosa, Av. Peter Henry Rolfs, sn, Viçosa 36570-000Minas Gerais, Brazil. ³Zoology/Evolutionary Biology, Universität Regensburg, Universitätsstrasse 31, Regensburg D-93040, Germany.

⁴Departamento de Biodiversidade, Evolução e Meio Ambiente, Universidade Federal de Ouro Preto, Ouro Preto 35400-000Minas Gerais, Brazil.

Published online: 15 September 2015

Reference

- Cardoso et al. BMC Evolutionary Biology (2015) 15:106 doi:10.1186/s12862-015-0383-4

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at
www.biomedcentral.com/submit

